SFCRFT Approved <u>Fo</u>r Release 2003/05/14: CIA-RDP79-01<u>57</u>8A000200040007-8

COD-M-73-039

17 July 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR: OC Equipment Board Members

SUBJECT

: Minutes of Equipment Board Meeting

of 3 July 1973

Meeting No. 4-73 of the Office of Communications Equipment Board was held on 3 July 1973 in Room 2D03 Headquarters Building. Those present were:

Mr. Mr. Mr. Mr.		
Mr. Mr.		
Mr.		
Also		

ITEM I - Mr. presented background information on the procurement of and experience with the Model 37 Teletype Corporation equipment. The Chairman then asked Mr. to present additional facts on the case. Mr. tributed a handout (Att. A) covering Field Station Usage of the equipment. A discussion ensued covering the status of worldwide conversion to ASCII, replacement possibilities for the M-37, and maintenance and spare part inventory needed in support of M-37 units currently in operation. Mr. if there was any Agency support in favor of continuing use of the M-37. Mr. reported that OTR was using a number of units in addition to 37 machines SPG had in operation. Alternatives to the M-37 were discussed in relation to the CAFT program. Also, the CAFT conversion time-table was discussed briefly, with suggestions being made by Mr. _____ in favor of every effort being made to increase the conversion factor from the presently planned 10 Stations to 20 or more per year.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET Approved For Release 2003/05/14 : CIA-RDP79-01578 A000200040007-8

COD-M-73-039

25X1	Mr. asked whether we could get credit from the TTY Corporation on a turn-in of M-37 units and Mr. advised that he intended to broach the matter with the company.	25X1		
25X1	Mr stated that if the M-37 program is terminated then maintenance training of Technicians would be limited to ad hoc requirements and the spare parts program could be reduced accordingly to accommodate M-37's in-use at considerable savings in manpower and funding resources.			
	At this point the Board members unanimously voted in favor of removal of the Model 37 from the usage.	25X1		
25X1 25X1	TTEM II - Procurement of a key-card dispenser for cryptographic equipment. The Chairman asked Mr to present the case. A model of the dispenser was presented and operation of the device was demonstrated. It was reported by Mr that the dispenser had been thoroughly tested by the Signal Center for one month and it has been subjected to "tampering" tests by Agency experts. Production costs were estimated at \$100 each in quantities of 5000 if production entailed machine work; costs may be reduced to as little as \$60 if the injection moulding technique were possible. Mr requested that approximately \$500,000, or some portion thereof, be made available in FY-74 to permit negotiation of a production run.	25X1		
	Questions were posed regarding the IBM card usage factor under present conditions and the future of IBM type cards in cryptographic devices. The Security advantages of the device were discussed, re-usability factors, and in-house encapsulating capability.			
25X1	Mr commented that money for FY-74 was very tight and that the programming cycle had been completed. Mr observed that equipment priorities for FY-74 might be adjusted to include purchase of a limited number of units. Mr subscribed to the idea and added that the remainder could be programmed in the FY-75 budget. Mr made a strong case for orderly, timely programming of equipment however he acceded to the idea of a review of the FY-74 program.	25X1 25X1 25X1		
	The Board then unanimously voted in favor of a review of the FY-74 program to determine whether funds could be made available for dispenser procurement and to include the dispenser for consideration in the FY-75 budget.			

SECRET Approved For Release 2003/05/14 : CIA-RDP79-01578A000200040007-8

COD-M-73-039

The Chairman then tabled the subject of the destiny of the Equipment Board and necessary re-alignment of membership as a result of the recent OC reorganization. Various possibilities for re-alignment were discussed, including the possiblity of organizing an Executive Committee, various Division and Staff level balances, and use of voting and advisory appointments. No final decision was reached on a proposal but rather it was decided that the various options would be presented to higher level management for consideration.

Chairman,	OC	Equipment	Board

25X1

APPROVED:______Director of Communications

25X1